

# Consumer Protection in the Energy Market – Lessons from Germany

International Association of Consumer Law Hamburg, 18-21 July 2023

Prof. Dr. Peter Rott

## Regulation of the energy market

- Division into suppliers of last resort and other suppliers
- Strong competition but a certain laziness of consumers
- Customers who cannot get a contract elsewhere are usually with suppliers of last resort
- Differences in private law regulation:
  - Basic Supply Regulations for supply of last resort with defined (although not very strong) consumer protection
  - Otherwise mainly protection by unfair contract terms law, with strict rules on price increase terms
- Supervisory control by the Federal Network Agency (Bundesnetzagentur) but largely passive in the past
- Reliance on private law enforcement, mainly by consumer organisations

# Different types of players

- Municipal suppliers
  - Often suppliers of last resort
  - Normally significantly more expensive than other suppliers
  - Usually with own production long-term planning
- Big private suppliers, e.g. E.ON, Vattenfall
  - Own production facilities
- Energy traders
  - often small
  - no production
  - cheapest offers in the market, sometimes with dubious elements
  - buying on the spot market when energy is cheap



#### Twofold crisis

– Price shock on the world market (November 2021)

– Russian invasion of Ukraine (February 2022)



#### **General problems**

Massive price increases under a variety of breaches of the law

- No announcement or disregard of 1 month announcement period
  - Unlawful: LG Verden, 10/10/2022 3 O 194/22
- No mentioning of the extraordinary right of termination
- Creation of obstacles to the termination of the contract
- Price increase despite of 24 months price guarantees
  - Unlawful, LG Berlin, 1/9/2022 52 O 117/22
- Isolated massive increases of monthly lump sum payments (normally based on the expected annual consumption price)
  - Unlawful: LG Berlin, 1/9/2022 52 O 117/22



## Specific problems with spot market buyers

- High insolvency risk due to lack of reserves
  - -Insolvency of a number of small energy suppliers
- Abusive strategies to maintain liquidity
  - Short-term price increases (while breaching the law, see below)
  - Isolated increase of lump-sum monthly advance payment (by up to 1,000% and more)
  - Immediate termination of supply contracts in order to get rid of customers (gas.de, stromio)
  - "Pausing" supply (Jura Power GmbH & Co. KG)

#### Follow-on problems with suppliers of last resort

- Customers of insolvent suppliers have a right to be supplied by the supplier of last resort
- In case of a mass phenomenon, suppliers of last resort do not have enough spare energy and need to buy on the spot market
- Consequence at the time (2021/2022):
  - Substitute supply (*Ersatzversorgung*) by the supplier of last resort but often much higher tariffs than for contractual supply
    - Lawfulness until 28 July 2022 is disputed, then the practice was legalised by the legislator (new § 38 Energy Act)
      - -Collective action against GASAG (Berlin) is pending
  - –Dual price system for regular customers and new customers
    - Sometimes more than double of the regular price (lawfulness disputed), prohibited with effect from 29 July 2022 (new § 36 para. 1 Energy Act)

### Reaction of the State

- Subsidies for energy suppliers and customers
  - State paid the bill for December 2022
  - Price cap for all customers from March to December 2023 while the State pays the difference to the contract price
    - Limited for consumers to 80% of last year's consumption so as to create an incentive for saving energy
    - Huge price differences between neighbouring municipalities: Windfall gains? - Cartel authorities have started examinations
  - -Additional measures for low-income households (social law)
- Logical consequence: Prices should remain stable or decrease but
  - Attempts to increase the monthly lump-sum payment anyway (e.g. from 280 to 875 Euros
    - LG Frankfurt, 30/5/2023 3-06 O 13/23: prohibited as the lump-sum payment must be based on the expected consumption

<sup>Carl von Ossietzky</sup> Universität Oldenburg

### Lessons learned? - Suppliers

- Prices on the world market have dropped, short term buyers are back and advertising cheap offers again
- More cautious approach of some suppliers
  - -No more long-term contracts or price guarantees
  - Long-term contract combined with right to termination in the case of price increases on the supply market

#### Lessons learned? – The regulator

- Tighter control by the *Bundesnetzagentur*.
  - -Feb. 2022: Prohibition of increased monthly lump-sum payments without valid increase of the supply price (*Rheinische Elektritzitätsund Gasversorgungsgesellschaft mbH*)
  - "Pausing" energy supply (see *Jura Power* above) is equated with termination and must be announced 3 months in advance
  - Rejection of re-entry into the market of gas.de due to unreliability (for the first time ever)

#### Lessons learned? – Legislator and government?

 Macro-level: Strengthening overall resilience through increase of domestic production and new trading partners

- No new measures preventing hazardeurs in the energy market

New measures to protect suppliers of last resort – at the expenses of consumers



#### Conclusion

- The energy market is not a market like any other but is meant to provide essential services (services of general interest)
- It does not only need competition but reliability and resilience
- What we need is
  - -No hazardeurs in the electricity market
    - -Minimum capital requirements, reserve requirements?
  - -Severe sanctions for blatant breaches of the law
  - –A reliable back-up system of suppliers of last resort
    - Without excessive tariffs for new customers, which undermines competition due to fear of insolvency
  - And, of course, sustainable own production or reliable suppliers on the EU or world market, and this in line with climate protection goals